STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Section 207 of the HHFKA amended section 22 of the NSLA (42 U.S.C. 1769c) to require State agencies to report the final results of the administrative review to the public in an accessible, easily understood manner in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the Secretary. Regulations at 7 CFR 210.18(m) requires the State agency to post a summary of the most recent final administrative review results for each SFA on the State agency's publicly available website no later than 30 days after the SA provides the final results of the administrative review to the SFA. The SA must also make a copy of the final administrative review report available to the public upon request.

School Food Authority (SFA) Name: St Anns Catholic Indian School

Date of Administrative Review: 10/9/2019

Date review results were provided to the SFA: 10/9/2019

Date review summary was publicly posted: 10/14/2019

The review summary must cover access and reimbursement (including eligibility and certification review results), an SFA's compliance with the meal patterns and the nutritional quality of school meals, the results of the review of the school nutrition environment (including food safety, local school wellness policy, and competitive foods), compliance related to civil rights, and general program participation. At a minimum, this would include the written notification of review findings provided to the SFAs Superintendent or equivalent as required at 7 CFR 210.18(i)(3).

General Program Participation

1. What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in?

PROGRAM	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
School Breakfast Program	\boxtimes		
National School Lunch Program	\boxtimes		
Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program	\boxtimes		
Afterschool Snack		\boxtimes	
Special Milk Program		\boxtimes	

2. Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions?

SPECIAL PROVISION	YES	NO	NOT ELIGIBLE
Community Eligibility Provision	\boxtimes		
Special Provision 2/3			\boxtimes

Review Findings

3. Note Worthy Observations:

The staff at St Ann's Catholic Indian school work together to make the school nutrition program a success. The meal is vended from Belcourt High School and served by the teachers at St Ann's. The FSD's maintains the production records with detail that meets the regulations. She has attended DPI annual training to learn the USDA School Nutrition requirements. The staff work together to offer the students nutritious meals. Keep up the good work.

YES	NO	Area Of Review
	\boxtimes	Certification and Benefit Issuance
	\boxtimes	Verification
\boxtimes		Meal Counting and Claiming
inding	(s) Deta	iils:
_	In revie day of claim s submit 588 lur	ew of the August/September claim and meal counts for August and September completed on the review a discrepancy has been noted. After tallying counts during the AR and comparing to the ubmitted for August/September, there is a discrepancy from the numbers collected and the claim ted. The breakfast count submitted for the August/September claim was 494 breakfast meals and not meals. The counts on the day of the review 10/9/19 was 546 breakfast and 592 lunch. The count on the day of review was calculated from the production record where the daily meal counts
2)	NA	
u Pa	tterns	and Nutritional Quality
VEC	NO	A was Of Davison
YES 🖂	NO	Area Of Review Most Components and Quantities
\boxtimes		Meal Components and Quantities
		Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
inding	(s) Deta	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis
inding 1)	(s) Deta In revie record are mis	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis iils: ew of September production records, the month of September breakfast menu vs. production are missing fruit on September 3rd and 26th. The September lunch menu vs. production record
inding 1)	(s) Deta In revie record are mis	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis wils: word September production records, the month of September breakfast menu vs. production are missing fruit on September 3rd and 26th. The September lunch menu vs. production record sing fruit on September 5th, 6th, 11th, and 26th. The Environment Area Of Review
inding 1) 2)	(s) Deta In revier record are miss NA	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis iils: ew of September production records, the month of September breakfast menu vs. production are missing fruit on September 3rd and 26th. The September lunch menu vs. production record sing fruit on September 5th, 6th, 11th, and 26th. On Environment Area Of Review Food Safety
inding 1) 2) cool N YES	(s) Deta In revie record are mis NA utritie	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis iils: ew of September production records, the month of September breakfast menu vs. production are missing fruit on September 3rd and 26th. The September lunch menu vs. production record sing fruit on September 5th, 6th, 11th, and 26th. On Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy
inding 1) 2) ool N YES	(s) Deta In revier record are mis NA [utritien]	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis iils: ew of September production records, the month of September breakfast menu vs. production are missing fruit on September 3rd and 26th. The September lunch menu vs. production record sing fruit on September 5th, 6th, 11th, and 26th. On Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy Competitive Foods
inding 1) 2) YES	(s) Deta In revier record are miss NA [utritien]	Meal Components and Quantities Offer versus Serve Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis iils: ew of September production records, the month of September breakfast menu vs. production are missing fruit on September 3rd and 26th. The September lunch menu vs. production record sing fruit on September 5th, 6th, 11th, and 26th. On Environment Area Of Review Food Safety Local School Wellness Policy

This institution is an equal opportunity provider.

 $\frac{Finding(s)\ Details}{NA}:$